PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D	2	3	FEB	2006
WIPO				PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2003032-WO		FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
PC	mational application No. T/DK2004/000677	International filing date 07.10.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 07.10.2003			
Inte C0	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C08L39/06, C08L53/00, C09J139/06, C09J153/00, A61L24/00, A61L15/24						
1 ''	elicant DLOPLAST A/S et al.						
1.	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of	f 6 sheets, including t	his cover sheet.				
З.	This report is also accompanied by	y ANNEXES, comprisi	ng:				
	a. \square sent to the applicant and to						
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
	Sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure in Supplemental Box.	e earlier sheets, but w in the international app	hich this Authority co lication as filed, as i	onsiders contain an amendment that goes ndicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the			
! 	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4.	This report contains indications rela	ating to the following it	ems:				
	Box No. I Basis of the opin	ion					
	☐ Box No. II Priority						
			rd to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of in						
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	☐ Box No. VI Certain documen	- -					
		the international appl					
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observati	ons on the internations	al application				
Date	Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report			
27.0	4.2005		22.02.2006				
Name	e and mailing address of the international ninary examining authority:		Authorized Officer				
	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	epmu d	Miao, K	Secretary Control of the Control of			
1 an 177 of 2087 7400			Telephone No. +49 89	9 2399-8584			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000677

_	Box	c No. I	Basis of the repo	rt		
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		which i ☐ inte ☐ pub	is the language of a rnational search (ur blication of the interr	translation furnished nder Rules 12.3 and national application (l		
2.	hav	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>				
	Des	cription	, Pages		•	
	1-30)		as originally filed		
	Clai	ms, Nur	mbers			
	1-36	3		as originally filed		
		a sequ	ence listing and/or a	any related table(s) -	see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.		☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/fig sequence listing (s)			
4.	□ had Sup	not been plemen the the the	en made, since they tal Box (Rule 70.2(description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/fig sequence listing (s)	r have been consider c)). gs	f) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below red to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ecify):	
	•	TE 1+	om 4 applies	some or all of t	these sheets may be marked "superseded "	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000677

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-4,6,25,27

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims No:

Claims

1-4,6,25,27

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-36

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

- D1: US 5 846 214 A (ISOBE KAZUKI ET AL) 8 December 1998 (1998-12-08)
- D2 US 6 303 700 B1 (CHEN FEI) 16 October 2001 (2001-10-16)
- D3 US 6 024 976 A (MIRANDA JESUS ET AL) 15 February 2000 (2000-02-15)
- D4 US 6 221 383 B1 (MIRANDA JESUS ET AL) 24 April 2001 (2001-04-24)
- D5 WO 03/020824 A (BEIERSDORF AG; NIERLE JENS (DE); WOELLER KARL-HEINZ (DE))
 13 March 2003 (2003-03-13)
- 1. The subject-matter of claims 1-4, 6, 25 and 27 is not novel (Art. 33(2) PCT) over D1.
- 1.1 Claims 10-12 and 14 of D1 are relevant.
- 2. The applicant has not provided convincing arguments as to why SIS is not an amphiphilic block copolymer as defined in present claim 1.
 - The mention of such a feature is, in itself, broad and does not limit it to SIS unless the applicant can demonstrate to the contrary.
- 3. In any case, inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT) cannot be given to said claims, since it is not obvious what the advantages of an amphiphilic block copolymer provides to the subject-matter.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in D1 as well as D2-4 and D5 has neither been mentioned nor identified in the description.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The following deficiencies (Art. 6 PCT) have not been overcome:

- 1. The support for claims 23-36 is not clear from the description.
- 2. The feature "...intrinsic adhesive properties." in claims 2-3 and 5 are vague and should be avoided.
- 2.1. In this connection, what "...adhesive properties..." are being referred to in claim 5
- 3. Separate dependent claims should be drawn up for preferred embodiments (see claims 6 and 9-10.
- 4. The feature "...suitable PEG 400..." in claim 8 should be explained, if not for the file only.

Such a feature in claim 8 is not allowable.

- 5. The blocks A and B in claim 11 have not been defined.
- 6. The phrase "...such as..." and the term "..., e.g.", especially in the claims should be suitably replaced without the violation of Art. 6 PCT.
- 6.1. Likewise, the phrase "...adapted for being attached..." in claim 26 should be suitably replaced.
- 6.2. Moreover, the feature "...in an amount sufficient to achieve a slippery surface..." in claim 32 is, also, vague and should be suitably replaced.
- 6.3. Words such as "...improving..." in the claims should, also, be avoided.

- 7. What is meant by osmolality in claim 33?
- 8. It is unclear whether all the essential features of the independent claim have been fully exemplified.
 - An explanation would be deemed appropriate for the file only, since any amendments to the worked examples are in violation to Art. 34(2)(b) PCT.
- 9. Registered Trade Marks should be acknowledged throughout the application.
- 10. Clerical and typographical errors should be removed from the application.

Please be informed that the Examiner in Charge of the International Preliminary Examination may not carry out any amendments in the application even if requested to do so. Amendments should be filed on retyped pages (Rule 11.9 PCT), which are necessary for legibility and clarity reasons.

If amendments are carried out, the reply should indicate in detail (e.g. in the form of a list), where (page / line) these amendments find their support in the application as originally filed (PCT Guidelines Chapter VI, paragraphs 7.1-7.4) in order to verify that the requirements of Art. 34(2)(b) PCT have been fulfilled.

Additionally, the Applicant is requested to clearly point out on one of the new copies of the original pages, which amendments have been made (PCT Guidelines VI, paragraphs 7.1-7.4).

Any information the Applicant may wish to submit concerning the subject-matter of the invention, for example, further details of its advantages or problem it solves, and for which there is no basis in the application as filed, should be confined to the letter of reply rather than be incorporated into the application (Art. 34(b) PCT).